

Banach limits on ordinals

D.H.FREMLIN

University of Essex, Colchester, England

1 Proposition There is a family $\langle F_\alpha \rangle_{\alpha \in \text{On} \setminus \{0\}}$ such that

for each non-zero $\alpha \in \text{On}$, F_α is a positive linear functional from $\ell^\infty(\alpha)$ to \mathbb{R} and $F_\alpha(\chi_\alpha) = 1$, whenever α is the ordinal sum $\beta + \gamma$ where $\gamma > 0$, $F_\alpha(\langle z_\xi \rangle_{\xi < \alpha}) = F_\gamma(\langle z_{\beta+\eta} \rangle_{\eta < \gamma})$ for every $\langle z_\xi \rangle_{\xi < \alpha} \in \ell^\infty(\alpha)$.

proof Induce on α .

When $\beta \leq \alpha \in \text{On}$ write $\alpha - \beta$ for that ordinal such that $\beta + (\alpha - \beta) = \alpha$, and set $T_{\alpha\beta}(\langle z_\xi \rangle_{\xi < \alpha}) = \langle z_{\beta+\eta} \rangle_{\eta < \alpha - \beta}$ when $\langle z_\xi \rangle_{\xi < \alpha} \in \ell^\infty(\alpha)$. Observe that if $\gamma \leq \beta \leq \alpha$ then $\gamma + (\beta - \gamma) + (\alpha - \beta) = \alpha$, $\alpha - \beta = (\alpha - \gamma) - (\beta - \gamma)$ and $T_{\alpha-\gamma, \beta-\gamma} T_{\alpha\gamma} = T_{\alpha\beta}$. Our target is to arrange that $F_\alpha = F_{\alpha-\beta} T_{\alpha\beta}$ whenever $\beta < \alpha$.

Start If $\alpha = 1$ set $F(z) = z_0$.

Inductive step to an ordinal which is not indecomposable Suppose that $\gamma \leq \beta < \alpha < \gamma + \alpha$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\alpha-\beta} T_{\alpha\beta} &= F_{\alpha-\beta} T_{\alpha-\gamma, \beta-\gamma} T_{\alpha\gamma} \\ &= F_{(\alpha-\gamma) - (\beta-\gamma)} T_{\alpha-\gamma, \beta-\gamma} T_{\alpha\gamma} = F_{\alpha-\gamma} T_{\alpha\gamma} \end{aligned}$$

by the inductive hypothesis. We therefore can (and must) take $F_\alpha = F_{\alpha-\beta} T_{\alpha\beta}$ whenever $\beta < \alpha < \beta + \alpha$. We now find that if $\gamma < \alpha = \gamma + \alpha$, there is a δ such that $\delta < \alpha < \delta + \alpha$, and if we set $\beta = \gamma + \delta$ we have $\gamma < \beta < \alpha$ and

$$\begin{aligned} F_\alpha &= F_{\alpha-\beta} T_{\alpha\beta} = F_{(\alpha-\gamma) - (\beta-\gamma)} T_{\alpha-\gamma, \beta-\gamma} T_{\alpha\gamma} \\ &= F_{\alpha-\delta} T_{\alpha\delta} T_{\alpha\gamma} = F_\alpha T_{\alpha\gamma} = F_{\alpha-\gamma} T_{\alpha\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

So we have $F_\alpha = F_{\alpha-\gamma} T_{\alpha\gamma}$ for every $\gamma < \alpha$, as demanded by the inductive hypothesis.

Inductive step to an indecomposable ordinal Suppose that $\alpha \geq \omega$ is an indecomposable ordinal. Recall that every ordinal is expressible as a finite sum

$$\beta_0 + \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_m$$

of non-zero indecomposable ordinals (allowing the empty string with sum 0), and that this expression is unique if we insist that $\beta_{i+1} \leq \beta_i$ for $i < n$. Moreover, if we say that for two expressions of this type we write

$$(\beta_0 + \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_m) \oplus (\gamma_0 + \dots + \gamma_n) = \delta_0 + \dots + \delta_{m+n+1}$$

where the δ_k enumerate the β_i and γ_j , with repetitions, in descending order (so that

$$\#\{k : \delta_k = \alpha\} = \#\{i : \beta_i = \alpha\} + \#\{j : \gamma_j = \alpha\}$$

for every α), then On , with \oplus , is an abelian semigroup. Note that we always have $\beta + \gamma \leq \beta \oplus \gamma$.

Because α is indecomposable, it is a subgroup of On . As an abelian semigroup, it is amenable, and there is a positive linear functional $F_\alpha : \ell^\infty(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $F_\alpha(\chi_\alpha) = 1$ and $F_\alpha S_\gamma = F_\alpha$ for every $\gamma < \alpha$, where

$$S_\gamma(\langle z_\xi \rangle_{\xi < \alpha}) = \langle z_{\gamma \oplus \xi} \rangle_{\xi < \alpha}$$

whenever $\langle z_\xi \rangle_{\xi < \alpha} \in \ell^\infty(\alpha)$. I need to show that $F_\alpha T_{\alpha\gamma} = F_\alpha$ for every $\gamma < \alpha$.

case 1 Suppose that α is a successor indecomposable, that is, is the ordinal product $\beta\omega$ for an indecomposable β . Then $\beta n + \xi = \beta n \oplus \xi$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\xi < \alpha$, so $S_{\beta n} = T_{\alpha, \beta n}$ for every n . If $\gamma < \alpha$ there is an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\beta m \leq \gamma < \beta(m+1)$ and $\gamma + \xi = \beta m + \xi$ whenever $\beta \leq \xi < \alpha$. But this means that

$$S_\beta T_{\alpha\gamma} = S_\beta T_{\alpha,\beta m} = S_\beta S_{\beta m} = S_{\beta(m+1)}$$

and

$$F_\alpha T_{\alpha\gamma} = F_\alpha S_\beta T_{\alpha\gamma} = F_\alpha S_{\beta(m+1)} = F_\alpha.$$

case 2 Suppose that α is a limit of indecomposables. Take any $\gamma < \alpha$. Let β be an indecomposable ordinal such that $\gamma < \beta < \alpha$. Then $\gamma + \beta = \beta$ so $\gamma + \xi = \xi$ for every $\xi \geq \beta$ and $S_\beta T_{\alpha\gamma} = S_\beta$, so that

$$F_\alpha T_{\alpha\gamma} = F_\alpha S_\beta T_{\alpha\gamma} = F_\alpha S_\beta = F_\alpha.$$

Thus in either case we have the required property for F_α and the induction can proceed.

Acknowledgments This note was composed during the workshop ‘The Role of the Higher Infinite in Mathematics and Other Disciplines’ at the Isaac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Cambridge, from 14 to 18 December 2015, following a talk by Catrin Campbell Moore. I am grateful for the hospitality of the Isaac Newton Institute and Churchill College.