

## The Cascales-Kadets-Rodríguez selection theorem

D.H.FREMLIN

*University of Essex, Colchester, England*

I give an account of a theorem presented at the meeting on Integration, Vector Measures and Related Topics IV at La Manga, March 2 – March 5, 2011.

### 1 The selection theorem

**1A Proposition** Let  $U$  be a locally convex Hausdorff linear topological space and  $K$  a weakly compact subset of  $U$ . Write  $S$  for  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{0, 1\}^n$ , and suppose that  $\langle A_\sigma \rangle_{\sigma \in S}$  is a family of non-empty subsets of  $K$  such that  $A_\sigma \subseteq A_\tau$  whenever  $\sigma, \tau \in S$  and  $\sigma$  extends  $\tau$ . For each  $\sigma \in S$ , write  $C_\sigma$  for the convex hull of  $A_{\sigma \frown \langle 1 \rangle} - A_{\sigma \frown \langle 0 \rangle}$ . Then  $0 \in \overline{\bigcup_{\sigma \in S} C_\sigma}$ .

**proof (a)** Consider first the case in which  $U$  is a Banach space. For each  $\sigma \in S$ , the norm-closed convex hull  $K_\sigma$  of  $A_\sigma$  is a weakly compact set (FREMLIN 03, 461J), and  $\overline{C}_\sigma = K_{\sigma \frown \langle 1 \rangle} - K_{\sigma \frown \langle 0 \rangle}$ . So if there is any  $\sigma \in S$  such that  $K_{\sigma \frown \langle 1 \rangle}$  meets  $K_{\sigma \frown \langle 0 \rangle}$ , we can stop; henceforth, let us suppose that  $K_{\sigma \frown \langle 1 \rangle}$  is disjoint from  $K_{\sigma \frown \langle 0 \rangle}$  for every  $\sigma$ , so that  $\langle K_\sigma \rangle_{\sigma \in \{0, 1\}^n}$  is disjoint for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

For  $\sigma \in S$ , set  $I_\sigma = \{y : \sigma \subseteq y \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}\}$ . Consider  $R = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{\sigma \in \{0, 1\}^n} K_\sigma \times I_\sigma$ . Then  $R \subseteq U \times \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is compact if  $U$  is given its weak topology and  $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is given its usual topology. For each  $y \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\langle K_{y \upharpoonright n} \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a non-increasing sequence of non-empty weakly compact subsets of  $U$ , so has non-empty intersection; thus  $\pi_2[R] = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , where  $\pi_2(u, y) = y$  for  $(u, y) \in R$ . Let  $\nu$  be the usual measure on  $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . By FREMLIN 03, 418L, there is a Radon probability measure  $\lambda$  on  $R$  such that  $\pi_2$  is inverse-measure-preserving for  $\lambda$  and  $\nu$ . Now set  $\pi_1(u, y) = u$  for  $(u, y) \in R$ , and let  $\mu$  be the image measure  $\lambda \pi_1^{-1}$ ; then  $\mu$  is a Radon probability measure for the weak topology of  $U$  (FREMLIN 03, 418I). If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\sigma \in \{0, 1\}^n$ , then

$$\mu K_\sigma = \lambda(R \cap (K_\sigma \times \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}})) = \lambda(R \cap (U \times I_\sigma))$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(because } K_\sigma \cap K_\tau &= I_\sigma \cap I_\tau = \emptyset \text{ whenever } \tau \in \{0, 1\}^n \setminus \{\sigma\}) \\ &= \nu I_\sigma = 2^{-n}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mu$  is a Radon probability measure for the weak topology on the complete metrizable locally convex space  $U$ , it is also Radon for the norm topology (FREMLIN 03, 466A). Let  $L$  be a norm-compact subset of  $U$  such that  $\mu L > 0$ . Take any  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then  $L$  must be expressible as a finite union of compact sets of diameter less than  $\epsilon$ , so we must have a compact set  $L_1$  of diameter less than  $\epsilon$  and measure greater than 0. Now, however, take  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $2^{-m} < \mu L_1$ . Then there must be distinct  $\sigma, \tau \in \{0, 1\}^m$  such that  $L_1$  meets both  $K_\sigma$  and  $K_\tau$ ; setting  $v = \sigma \cap \tau$ ,  $L_1$  meets both  $K_{v \frown \langle 1 \rangle}$  and  $K_{v \frown \langle 0 \rangle}$ , so that  $\overline{C}_v$  and  $C_v$  meet  $\{u : \|u\| < \epsilon\}$ . As  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary,  $0 \in \overline{\bigcup_{\sigma \in S} C_\sigma}$ , as required.

**(b)** For the general case, let  $W$  be any neighbourhood of 0 in  $U$ . Then there is a continuous seminorm  $\rho : U \rightarrow [0, \infty[$  such that  $W \supseteq \{u : \rho(u) \leq 1\}$ . Set  $N_\rho = \{u : \rho(u) = 0\}$ , so that  $U/N_\rho$  is a normed space; write  $V$  for the completion of  $U/N_\rho$  and  $T : U \rightarrow V$  for the canonical map, so that  $\|Tu\| = \rho(u)$  for  $u \in U$ . Because  $T$  is weakly continuous,  $T[K]$  is weakly compact in  $V$ . Applying (a) to  $\langle T[A_\sigma] \rangle_{\sigma \in S}$ , we see that there is a  $\sigma \in S$  such that  $T[C_\sigma]$  meets the unit ball of  $V$ , in which case  $C_\sigma$  meets  $W$ . As  $W$  is arbitrary,  $\overline{\bigcup_{\sigma \in S} C_\sigma}$  contains 0.

**1B Definition** Let  $U$  be a locally convex linear topological space,  $X$  a set and  $\Sigma$  a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $X$ .

**(a)** A  $U$ -valued function  $\phi$  defined on a subset of  $U$  is **scalarly measurable** if  $f\phi$  is measurable (in the sense of FREMLIN 00, 121C) for every  $f \in U^*$ .

(b) If  $F \subseteq X \times U$  is a relation, I will call  $F$  **scalarly hull-measurable** if  $x \mapsto \sup f[F[\{x\}]] : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$  is measurable for every  $f \in U^*$ , where here  $\sup \emptyset$  is to be interpreted as  $-\infty$ .<sup>1</sup>

**1C Lemma** Let  $U$  be a locally convex Hausdorff linear topological space,  $X$  a set,  $\Sigma$  a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $X$  and  $F \subseteq X \times U$  a scalarly hull-measurable relation such that  $F[\{x\}]$  is weakly compact for every  $x \in X$ . Suppose that  $\psi : X \rightarrow U^*$  is a function of the form

$$\psi(x) = f_i \text{ for } x \in E_i$$

where  $\langle E_i \rangle_{i \in I}$  is a countable partition of  $X$  into measurable sets and  $f_i \in U^*$  for each  $i \in I$ . Set

$$G = \{(x, u) : (x, u) \in F, \psi(x)(u) = \sup \psi(x)[F[\{u\}]]\}.$$

Then  $G$  is scalarly hull-measurable.

**proof (a)** Suppose to begin with that  $F[\{x\}]$  is non-empty for every  $x \in X$  and that  $\psi$  is constant, with  $\psi(x) = f$  for every  $x \in X$ . Take any  $g \in U^*$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , set  $h_n = f + 2^{-n}g$ . Then

$$\sup g[G[\{x\}]] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n (\sup h_n[F[\{x\}]] - \sup f[F[\{x\}]])$$

for every  $x \in X$ . **P** Set  $\hat{\alpha} = \max f[F[\{x\}]]$ , so that  $G[\{x\}] = \{u : u \in F[\{x\}], f(u) = \hat{\alpha}\}$ , and  $\hat{\beta} = \max g[G[\{x\}]]$ . For  $u \in U$ , set  $Tu = (f(u), g(u))$ , so that  $T : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  is a continuous linear operator. Set  $K = T[F[\{x\}]]$ , so that  $K$  is a compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\hat{\alpha} = \max\{\alpha : (\alpha, \beta) \in K\}$  and  $\hat{\beta} = \max\{\beta : (\hat{\alpha}, \beta) \in K\}$ . Moreover, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\sup h_n[F[\{x\}]] = \max\{\alpha + 2^{-n}\beta : (\alpha, \beta) \in K\}.$$

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $(\alpha_n, \beta_n) \in K$  be such that  $\alpha_n + 2^{-n}\beta_n = \max\{\alpha + 2^{-n}\beta : (\alpha, \beta) \in K\}$ . Note that  $(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}) \in K$ , so that  $\alpha_n + 2^{-n}\beta_n \geq \hat{\alpha} + 2^{-n}\hat{\beta}$  for each  $n$ , while also  $\alpha_n \leq \hat{\alpha}$  for every  $n$ . Let  $(\alpha^*, \beta^*)$  be any cluster point of  $\langle (\alpha_n, \beta_n) \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Then

$$\alpha^* \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n + 2^{-n}\beta_n \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\alpha} + 2^{-n}\hat{\beta} = \hat{\alpha} \geq \alpha^*,$$

and  $\alpha^* = \alpha$ . Next,  $2^{-n}\beta_n \geq 2^{-n}\hat{\beta}$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , so  $\beta^* \geq \hat{\beta}$ ; but  $(\alpha^*, \beta^*) \in K$ , so  $\beta^*$  must be equal to  $\hat{\beta}$ .

Thus  $(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta})$  is the only cluster point of  $\langle (\alpha_n, \beta_n) \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ; as  $K$  is compact,  $\langle (\alpha_n, \beta_n) \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow (\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta})$ . But this means that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n + 2^n(\alpha_n - \hat{\alpha}) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n = \hat{\beta}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\alpha_n + 2^{-n}\beta_n \geq \hat{\alpha} + 2^{-n}\hat{\beta}, \quad \beta_n + 2^n(\alpha_n - \hat{\alpha}) \geq \hat{\beta}$$

for every  $n$ , so

$$2^n (\sup h_n[F[\{x\}]] - \sup f[F[\{x\}]]) = \beta_n + 2^n(\alpha_n - \hat{\alpha}) \rightarrow \hat{\beta} = \sup g[G[\{x\}]]$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . **Q**

Since  $x \mapsto \sup f[F[\{x\}]]$  and  $x \mapsto \sup h_n[F[\{x\}]]$  are measurable functions for every  $n$ ,  $x \mapsto \sup g[G[\{x\}]]$  is measurable. As  $g$  is arbitrary,  $G$  is scalarly hull-measurable.

(b) For the general case, set  $X_0 = \{x : F[\{x\}] \neq \emptyset\} = \{x : \sup \hat{f}_0[F[\{x\}]] \neq -\infty\}$ , where  $\hat{f}_0$  is the zero functional in  $U^*$ , and let  $\langle E_i \rangle_{i \in I}$  be a countable partition of  $X$  into measurable sets such that  $\psi$  is constant on each  $E_i$ . Take any  $g \in U^*$ . Applying (a) to  $X_0 \cap E_i$ , with the subspace  $\sigma$ -algebra, and the relation  $F \cap (E_i \times U)$  for each  $i \in I$ , we see that  $x \mapsto \sup g[G[\{x\}]] : X_0 \cap E_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is measurable for each  $i \in I$ , so that  $x \mapsto \sup g[G[\{x\}]] : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty[$  is measurable. As  $g$  is arbitrary,  $G$  is scalarly hull-measurable in this case also.

**1D Theorem** (CASCALES KADETS & RODRÍGUEZ 10, Theorem 3.8) Let  $U$  be a metrizable locally convex linear topological space,  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  a complete strictly localizable measure space and  $F \subseteq X \times U$  a scalarly hull-measurable relation such that  $F[\{x\}]$  is weakly compact for every  $x \in X$ . Then  $F$  has a scalarly measurable selector.

<sup>1</sup>CASCALES KADETS & RODRÍGUEZ 10 would treat  $F$  as a function from  $X$  to  $\mathcal{P}U$ , and call it ‘scalarly measurable’.

**proof (a)** To begin with (down to the end of (f) below) let us suppose that  $\mu$  is totally finite and that  $F[\{x\}]$  is non-empty for every  $x \in X$ . Let  $\Phi$  be the set of scalarly hull-measurable sets  $G \subseteq F$  such that all the vertical sections  $G[\{x\}]$  are non-empty weakly compact sets, and  $\Psi$  the set of functions  $\psi : X \rightarrow U^*$  such that  $\psi$  takes finitely many values and  $\psi^{-1}[\{f\}] \in \Sigma$  for every  $f \in U^*$ . Note that if  $G \in \Phi$  and  $\psi \in \Psi$  then  $x \mapsto \text{diam}(\psi(x)[G[\{x\}]]) : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is measurable. **P** Let  $\langle E_i \rangle_{i \in I}$  be a finite partition of  $X$  into measurable sets such that  $\psi|_{E_i}$  is constant for each  $i$ ; let  $f_i \in U^*$  be such that  $\psi(x) = f_i$  for  $x \in E_i$ . Then

$$x \mapsto \sup f_i[G[\{x\}]], \quad x \mapsto \sup(-f_i)[G[\{x\}]],$$

$$x \mapsto \text{diam } f_i[G[\{x\}]] = \sup f_i[G[\{x\}]] - \sup(-f_i)[G[\{x\}]]$$

are measurable for each  $i$ , so  $x \mapsto \text{diam}(\psi(x)[G[\{x\}]])$  is measurable. **Q**

(b) For  $G \in \Phi$  and  $\psi \in \Psi$ , set

$$h_{G\psi}(x) = \frac{\text{diam}(\psi(x)[G[\{x\}]])}{1 + \text{diam}(\psi(x)[G[\{x\}]])}$$

for each  $x \in X$ , and  $\delta(G, \psi) = \int h_{G\psi}$ . Because  $U$  is metrizable, there is a  $\langle W_n \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  running over a base of neighbourhoods of 0 in  $U$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , set  $\Psi_n = \{\psi : \psi \in \Psi, \psi[X] \subseteq W_n^o\}$ . Note that if  $G \in \Phi$  and  $\psi_0, \psi_1 \in \Psi_n$ , there is a  $\psi \in \Psi_n$  such that  $h_{G\psi} = h_{G\psi_0} \vee h_{G\psi_1}$ . **P** Let  $\langle E_i \rangle_{i \in I}$  be a finite partition of  $X$  into non-empty measurable sets such that both  $\psi_0$  and  $\psi_1$  are constant on  $E_i$  for every  $i$ ; say that  $\psi_0(x) = f_i$  and  $\psi_1(x) = f'_i$  for each  $i$ . Set

$$E'_i = \{x : x \in E_i, \text{diam } f_i[G[\{x\}]] \geq \text{diam } f'_i[G[\{x\}]]\};$$

then  $E'_i$  is measurable for each  $i$ , so if we set

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x) &= f_i \text{ if } i \in I \text{ and } x \in E'_i, \\ &= f'_i \text{ if } i \in I \text{ and } x \in E_i \setminus E'_i, \end{aligned}$$

we get  $h_{G\psi}(x) = \max(h_{G\psi_0}(x), h_{G\psi_1}(x))$  for every  $x$ , while  $\psi \in \Psi_n$ . **Q**

(c) For  $G \in \Phi$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , set

$$\Delta_n(G) = \sup_{\psi \in \Psi_n} \delta(G, \psi).$$

From (b) we see, inducing on  $k$ , that if  $\psi_0, \dots, \psi_k \in \Psi_n$ , then there is a  $\psi \in \Psi_n$  such that  $h_{G\psi} = \sup_{i \leq k} h_{G\psi_i}$  and  $\int \sup_{i \leq k} h_{G\psi_i} \leq \Delta_n(G)$ ; so in fact if  $\langle \psi_k \rangle_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is any sequence in  $\Psi_n$  and  $h = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} h_{G\psi_k}$ , then  $\int h \leq \Delta_n(G)$ .

(d) (The key.) If  $G \in \Phi$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  are such that  $\Delta_n(G) > 0$ , there is a  $G' \in \Phi$  such that  $G' \subseteq G$  and  $\Delta_n(G') < \Delta_n(G)$ . **P?** Suppose, if possible, otherwise. Set  $S = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{0, 1\}^n$  and let  $\langle \epsilon_\sigma \rangle_{\sigma \in S}$  be a family of strictly positive real numbers such that  $\sum_{\sigma \in S} \epsilon_\sigma < \Delta_n(G)$ . Choose  $\langle G_\sigma \rangle_{\sigma \in S}$  and  $\langle \psi_\sigma \rangle_{\sigma \in S}$  inductively, as follows.  $G_\emptyset = G$ . Given that  $\sigma \in S$ ,  $G_\sigma \in \Phi$  and  $G_\sigma \subseteq G$ , take  $\psi_\sigma \in \Psi_n$  such that

$$\delta(G_\sigma, \psi_\sigma) \geq \Delta_n(G_\sigma) - \epsilon_\sigma = \Delta_n(G) - \epsilon_\sigma.$$

Set

$$G_{\sigma \frown \langle 1 \rangle} = \{(x, u) : (x, u) \in G_\sigma, \psi_\sigma(x)(u) = \sup \psi_\sigma(x)[G_\sigma[\{x\}]]\},$$

$$G_{\sigma \frown \langle 0 \rangle} = \{(x, u) : (x, u) \in G_\sigma, \psi_\sigma(x)(u) = \inf \psi_\sigma(x)[G_\sigma[\{x\}]]\}.$$

By Lemma 3,  $G_{\sigma \frown \langle 1 \rangle}$  and  $G_{\sigma \frown \langle 0 \rangle}$  both belong to  $\Phi$ . Continue.

At the end of the construction, let  $\langle \psi'_k \rangle_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $\Psi_n$  such that  $\Delta_n(G) = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \delta(G, \psi'_k)$ , and set

$$h = \sup_{\sigma \in S} h_{G\psi_\sigma} \vee \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} h_{G\psi'_k},$$

so that  $\int h \leq \Delta_n(G)$ , as noted in (c), while  $h_{G_\sigma\psi_\sigma} \leq h$  for every  $\sigma \in S$ . But this means that  $\int h - h_{G_\sigma\psi_\sigma} \leq \epsilon_\sigma$  for every  $\sigma \in S$ ; also, of course,  $\int h = \Delta_n(G) > \sum_{\sigma \in S} \epsilon_\sigma$ .

There must therefore be an  $x \in X$  such that  $\gamma = \inf_{\sigma \in S} h_{G_\sigma\psi_\sigma}(x)$  is greater than 0. Set  $K_\sigma = G_\sigma[\{x\}]$  and  $f_\sigma = \psi_\sigma(x)$  for each  $\sigma$ , so that  $K_\sigma \subseteq U$  is weakly compact,  $f_\sigma \in W_n^o$ , and

$$K_{\sigma \wedge \langle 1 \rangle} = \{u : u \in K_\sigma, f_\sigma(u) = \sup f_\sigma[K_\sigma]\},$$

$$K_{\sigma \wedge \langle 0 \rangle} = \{u : u \in K_\sigma, f_\sigma(u) = \inf f_\sigma[K_\sigma]\}.$$

Moreover,  $\text{diam}(f_\sigma[K_\sigma]) \geq \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma}$  for every  $\sigma$ . But this means that if we take  $C_\sigma$  to be the convex hull of  $K_{\sigma \wedge \langle 1 \rangle} - K_{\sigma \wedge \langle 0 \rangle}$ ,  $f_\sigma(u) \geq \frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma}$  for every  $u \in C_\sigma$ , and  $C_\sigma$  does not meet  $\frac{1-\gamma}{2\gamma}W$ . Thus  $0 \notin \overline{\bigcup_{\sigma \in S} C_\sigma}$ ; contradicting Proposition 1.

(e) Note next that if  $\langle G_m \rangle_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  is any non-increasing sequence in  $\Phi$ ,  $G = \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{N}} G_m$  belongs to  $\Phi$ . **P** For any  $x \in X$ ,  $\langle G_m[\{x\}] \rangle_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a non-increasing sequence of non-empty weakly compact sets, so  $G[\{x\}] = \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{N}} G_m[\{x\}]$  is a non-empty weakly compact set. Moreover, if  $f \in U^*$ ,  $\sup f[G[\{x\}]] = \inf_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \sup f[G_m[\{x\}]]$ , so  $x \mapsto \sup f[G[\{x\}]]$  is measurable; as  $f$  is arbitrary,  $G$  is scalarly hull-measurable and belongs to  $\Phi$ . **Q**

Of course  $\Delta_n(G') \leq \Delta_n(G)$  whenever  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $G', G \in \Phi$  and  $G' \subseteq G$ . There is therefore a  $G \in \Phi$  such that  $\Delta_n(G) = 0$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . **P** Let  $\langle (n_k, q_k) \rangle_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an enumeration of  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Q}$ . Choose  $\langle G_k \rangle_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  inductively so that

$$\begin{aligned} G_0 &= F, \\ \text{if there is a } G \subseteq G_k \text{ such that } G \in \Phi \text{ and } \Delta_{n_k}(G) &\leq q_k, \text{ then } G_{k+1} \subseteq G, G_{k+1} \in \Phi \text{ and} \\ \Delta_{n_k}(G_{k+1}) &\leq q_k, \\ \text{otherwise, } G_{k+1} &= G_k. \end{aligned}$$

At the end of the induction, set  $G = \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} G_k$ . Then  $G \in \Phi$ . **?** If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is such that  $\Delta_n(G) > 0$ , (d) tells us that there is a  $G' \subseteq G$  such that  $G' \in \Phi$  and  $\Delta_n(G') < \Delta_n(G)$ . Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $n_k = n$  and  $\Delta_n(G') \leq q_k < \Delta_n(G)$ . Then  $G' \subseteq G_k$  and  $\Delta_{n_k}(G') \leq q_k$ , so

$$q_k < \Delta_n(G) = \Delta_{n_k}(G) \leq \Delta_{n_k}(G_{k+1}) \leq q_k$$

(by the choice of  $G_{k+1}$ ), which is absurd. **X** Thus  $\Delta_n(G) = 0$  for every  $n$ , as required. **Q**

(f) Let  $\phi : X \rightarrow U$  be any selector for  $G$ . Then of course  $\phi$  is a selector for  $F$ . Also  $\phi$  is scalarly measurable. **P** Take any  $f \in U^*$ . Then there is an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f \in W_n^\circ$ , so that the constant function  $\psi$  on  $X$  with value  $f$  belongs to  $\Psi_n$ . Accordingly  $\delta(G, \psi) = 0$  and  $h_{G\psi} = 0$  a.e. and  $\text{diam}(f[G[\{x\}]]) = 0$  for almost every  $x$ . But this means that  $f(\phi(x)) = \sup f[G[\{x\}]]$  for almost every  $x$ ; as  $G \in \Phi$  and  $\mu$  is complete,  $f\phi$  is measurable; as  $f$  is arbitrary,  $\phi$  is scalarly measurable.

(g) This deals with the case in which  $\mu$  is totally finite and all the vertical sections of  $F$  are non-empty. For the general case, let  $\langle X_i \rangle_{i \in I}$  be a decomposition of  $X$  (FREMLIN 01, 211E), and set  $Y = \{x : F[\{x\}] \neq \emptyset\}$ . As in part (b) of the proof of Lemma 1C,  $Y$  is measurable. Applying (a)-(f) to  $Y \cap X_i$  and  $F_i = F \cap ((Y \cap X_i) \times U)$ , we have for each  $i$  a scalarly measurable selector  $\phi_i$  for  $F_i$ ; now  $\phi = \bigcup_{i \in I} \phi_i$  is a scalarly measurable selector for  $F$ .

## 2 Set selectors

**2A The problem** Theorem 1D offers a process for choosing a selector  $\phi$  for a relation  $F \subseteq X \times U$  which depends on examining the whole relation  $F$ . By contrast, such selection theorems as the von Neumann-Jankow theorem (FREMLIN 03, 423M-423O) and the Kuratowski-Ryll-Nardzewski theorem (KURATOWSKI & RYLL-NARDZEWSKI 65) can be reduced to procedures for choosing  $\phi(x) \in F[\{x\}]$  directly from the set  $F[\{x\}]$  alone, without considering other sections. V.Kadets therefore asked the following:

Let  $U$  be a metrizable locally convex linear topological space, and  $\mathcal{K}$  the family of non-empty weakly compact subsets of  $U$ . Is there a function  $\theta : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow U$  such that  $\theta(K) \in K$  for every  $K \in \mathcal{K}$  and whenever  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  is a complete strictly localizable space and  $F \subseteq X \times U$  is a scalarly hull-measurable function such that  $F[\{x\}] \in \mathcal{K}$  for every  $x \in X$ , then  $x \mapsto \theta(F[\{x\}])$  is scalarly measurable?

**2B Proposition** Suppose that  $U$  is a locally convex Hausdorff linear topological space and that there is a family  $\langle f_\xi \rangle_{\xi < \omega_1}$  in  $U^*$  such that  $U^* = \bigcup_{\xi < \omega_1} \overline{\{f_\eta : \eta < \xi\}}$ , the closures here being taken for the weak\* topology on  $U^*$ . Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the family of non-empty weakly compact subsets of  $U$ . Then there is a function

$\theta : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow U$  such that  $\theta(K) \in K$  for every  $K \in \mathcal{K}$  and whenever  $X$  is a set,  $\Sigma$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $X$  and  $F \subseteq X \times U$  is a scalarly hull-measurable function such that  $F[\{x\}] \in \mathcal{K}$  for every  $x \in X$ , then  $x \mapsto \theta(F[\{x\}])$  is scalarly measurable.

**proof** (See CASCALES KADETS & RODRÍGUEZ 09, Theorem 5.4.) For  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ , define a family  $\langle \theta_\xi(K) \rangle_{\xi \leq \omega_1}$  in  $\mathcal{K}$  inductively by saying that  $\theta_0(K) = K$  and

$$\theta_{\xi+1}(K) = \{u : u \in \theta_\xi(K), f_\xi(u) = \sup f_\xi[\theta_\xi(K)]\}$$

for each  $\xi < \omega_1$ ,

$$\theta_\xi(K) = \bigcap_{\eta < \xi} \theta_\eta(K)$$

for non-zero limit ordinals  $\xi \leq \omega_1$ . If  $u, v \in \theta_{\omega_1}(K)$ , then  $\{f : f \in U^*, f(u) = f(v)\}$  is a weak\*-closed subset of  $U^*$  containing every  $f_\xi$ , so is the whole of  $U^*$ , and  $u = v$ ; thus  $\theta_{\omega_1}(K)$  is a singleton. We can therefore define  $\theta : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow U$  by taking  $\theta(K)$  to be the member of  $\theta_{\omega_1}(K)$  for each  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ , and we shall have  $\theta(K) \in K$  for every  $K$ .

Now suppose that  $X$  is a set,  $\Sigma$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $X$  and  $F \subseteq X \times U$  is a scalarly hull-measurable function such that  $F[\{x\}] \in \mathcal{K}$  for every  $x \in X$ . For each  $\xi < \omega_1$  set

$$F_\xi = \{(x, u) : x \in X, u \in \theta_\xi(F[\{x\}])\}.$$

Then  $F_\xi$  is scalarly hull-measurable for every  $\xi < \omega_1$ . **P** Induce on  $\xi$ . The induction starts with  $F_0 = F$ . For the inductive step to  $\xi + 1$ , use Lemma 1C. For the inductive step to a non-zero countable limit ordinal  $\xi$ , observe that for any  $f \in U^*$  and  $x \in X$ ,  $\langle F_\eta[\{x\}] \rangle_{\eta < \xi}$  is a non-increasing family of non-empty weakly compact sets with intersection  $F_\xi[\{x\}]$ . So

$$\sup f[F_\xi[\{x\}]] = \inf_{\eta < \xi} \sup f[F_\eta[\{x\}]];$$

as  $x \mapsto \sup f[F_\eta[\{x\}]]$  is measurable for every  $\eta < \xi$ , so is  $x \mapsto \sup f[F_\xi[\{x\}]]$ . **Q**

Set  $\phi(x) = \theta(F[\{x\}])$  for each  $x$ . Then  $\phi$  is scalarly measurable. **P** If  $f \in U^*$ , let  $\xi < \omega_1$  be such that  $f \in \{f_\eta : \eta < \xi\}$ . For any  $x \in X$  and  $u, v \in F_\xi[\{x\}] = \theta_\xi(F[\{x\}])$ ,  $f_\eta(u) = f_\eta(v)$  for every  $\eta < \xi$ , so  $f(u) = f(v)$ . But

$$\phi(x) = \theta(F[\{x\}]) \in \theta_{\omega_1}[F[\{x\}]] \subseteq \theta_\xi[F[\{x\}]]$$

so  $f(\phi(x)) = \sup f[F_\xi[\{x\}]]$ . And  $x \mapsto \sup f[F_\xi[\{x\}]]$  is measurable; as  $f$  is arbitrary,  $\phi$  is scalarly measurable. **Q**

**2C Lemma** Let  $\kappa$  be an infinite cardinal, and  $\theta : [\kappa^+]^2 \rightarrow \kappa^+$  a function such that  $\theta(I) \in I$  for every  $I \in [\kappa^+]^2$ . Then there is a  $\xi < \kappa^+$  such that  $\{\eta : \theta(\{\xi, \eta\}) = \xi\}$  and  $\{\eta : \theta(\{\xi, \eta\}) = \eta\}$  both have cardinal at least  $\kappa$ .

**proof ?** Otherwise, then for each  $\xi < \kappa^+$  there is an  $\alpha_\xi$  such that  $\xi < \alpha_\xi < \kappa^+$  and

$$\text{either } \theta(\{\xi, \eta\}) = \xi \text{ for every } \eta \geq \alpha_\xi$$

$$\text{or } \theta(\{\xi, \eta\}) = \eta \text{ for every } \eta \geq \alpha_\xi.$$

Set  $A = \{\xi : \theta(\{\xi, \eta\}) = \xi \text{ for every } \eta \geq \alpha_\xi\}$ . Let  $C \subseteq \kappa^+$  be a cofinal set such that  $\alpha_\xi \leq \eta$  whenever  $\xi, \eta \in C$  and  $\xi < \eta$  (FREMLIN 03, 4A1Bd). Then at least one of  $C \cap A$ ,  $C \setminus A$  has cardinal  $\kappa^+$ .

Suppose that  $\#(C \cap A) = \kappa^+$ . Then there is a  $\xi \in C \cap A$  such that  $\#(C \cap A \cap \xi) = \kappa$ , in which case  $C \cap A \setminus (\xi + 1)$  has cardinal  $\kappa^+$ . If  $\eta \in C \cap A \cap \xi$ , then  $\alpha_\eta \leq \xi$  so  $\theta(\{\xi, \eta\}) = \eta$ ; if  $\eta \in C \cap A \setminus (\xi + 1)$  then  $\alpha_\xi \leq \eta$  so  $\theta(\{\xi, \eta\}) = \xi$ . But this means that  $\{\eta : \theta(\{\xi, \eta\}) = \xi\}$  and  $\{\eta : \theta(\{\xi, \eta\}) = \eta\}$  both have cardinal at least  $\kappa$ , and we were supposing that this was impossible.

Similarly, if  $\#(C \setminus A) = \kappa^+$ , there is a  $\xi \in C \setminus A$  such that  $\#(C \cap \xi \setminus A) = \kappa$ , in which case  $C \setminus (A \cup (\xi + 1))$  has cardinal  $\kappa^+$ . If  $\eta \in C \cap \xi \setminus A$ , then  $\alpha_\eta \leq \xi$  so  $\theta(\{\xi, \eta\}) = \xi$ ; if  $\eta \in C \setminus (A \cup (\xi + 1))$  then  $\alpha_\xi \leq \eta$  so  $\theta(\{\xi, \eta\}) = \eta$ . So our counter-hypothesis is contradicted in this case also. **X**

**2D Example (a)** Let  $U$  be the Hilbert space  $\ell^2(\omega_2)$ . Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the family of non-empty weakly compact subsets of  $U$  and  $\theta : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow U$  any function such that  $\theta(K) \in K$  for every  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ . Let  $\langle e_\xi \rangle_{\xi < \omega_2}$  be the standard orthonormal basis of  $U$ , and define  $\theta_0 : [\omega_2]^2 \rightarrow \omega_2$  by saying that  $\theta_0(\{\xi, \eta\}) = \xi$  whenever  $\xi \neq \eta$  and  $\theta(\{e_\xi, e_\eta\}) = e_\xi$ . By Lemma 2C, there is a  $\xi < \omega_2$  such that  $\{\eta : \theta_0(\{\xi, \eta\}) = \xi\}$  and  $\{\eta : \theta_0(\{\xi, \eta\}) = \eta\}$  are both uncountable.

Let  $\mu$  be the countable-cocountable measure on  $\omega_2$  (FREMLIN 01, 211R), and  $\Sigma$  its domain, so that  $(\omega_2, \Sigma, \mu)$  is a complete probability space. Set

$$F = \{(\eta, e_\xi) : \eta < \omega_2\} \cup \{(\eta, e_\eta) : \eta < \omega_2\} \subseteq \omega_2 \times U.$$

Then  $F[\{\eta\}] = \{e_\xi, e_\eta\} \in \mathcal{K}$  for every  $\eta < \omega_2$ . Now  $F$  is scalarly hull-measurable. **P** If  $f \in U^*$ , there is a  $v \in U$  such that  $f(u) = (u|v)$  for every  $u \in U$ . Then  $f[F[\{\eta\}]] = \{v(\eta), v(\xi)\}$  for every  $\eta$ . Let  $J$  be the countable set  $\{\eta : v(\eta) \neq 0\}$ . For  $\eta \in \omega_2 \setminus J$ ,  $\sup f[F[\{\eta\}]] = \max(0, v(\xi))$ ; so  $\eta \mapsto \sup f[F[\{\eta\}]]$  is constant on the conegligible set  $\omega_2 \setminus J$ , and is measurable. **Q**

On the other hand, if we set  $\phi(\eta) = \theta(F[\{\eta\}])$  for  $\eta < \omega_2$ , and  $g(u) = u(\xi)$  for  $u \in U$ , then

$$\{\eta : g(\phi(\eta)) = 0\} = \{\eta : \eta \neq \xi, \phi(\eta) = e_\eta\} = \{\eta : \eta \neq \xi, \theta_0(\{\eta, \xi\}) = \eta\},$$

$$\{\eta : g(\phi(\eta)) = 1\} = \{\eta : \phi(\eta) = e_\xi\} = \{\xi\} \cup \{\eta : \eta \neq \xi, \theta_0(\{\eta, \xi\}) = \xi\}$$

are both uncountable, so  $g\phi$  is not measurable and  $\phi$  is not scalarly measurable.

This shows that there is no selector  $\theta$  for  $\mathcal{K}$  which will generate scalarly measurable selectors of the type found in Theorem 1D and Proposition 2B.

**(b)** Let  $U$  be the Hilbert space  $\ell^2(\mathfrak{c}^+)$ . Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be the family of non-empty weakly compact subsets of  $U$  and  $\theta : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow U$  any function such that  $\theta(K) \in K$  for every  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ . Let  $\langle e_\xi \rangle_{\xi < \mathfrak{c}^+}$  be the standard orthonormal basis of  $U$ , and define  $\theta_0 : [\mathfrak{c}^+]^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}^+$  by saying that  $\theta_0(\{\xi, \eta\}) = \xi$  whenever  $\xi \neq \eta$  and  $\theta(\{e_\xi, e_\eta\}) = e_\xi$ . By Lemma 2C, there is a  $\xi < \mathfrak{c}^+$  such that  $D_1 = \{\eta : \theta_0(\{\xi, \eta\}) = \xi\}$  and  $D_0 = \{\eta : \theta_0(\{\xi, \eta\}) = \eta\}$  both have cardinal at least  $\mathfrak{c}$ .

Let  $\mu$  be Lebesgue measure on  $[0, 1]$  and  $\Sigma$  its domain, so that  $([0, 1], \Sigma, \mu)$  is a complete probability space. Let  $A \subseteq [0, 1]$  be a non-measurable set (FREMLIN 00, 134B) and  $q : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}^+$  an injective function such that  $q[A] \subseteq D_1$  and  $q[[0, 1] \setminus A] \subseteq D_0$ . Set

$$F = \{(x, e_\xi) : x \in [0, 1]\} \cup \{(x, e_{q(x)}) : x \in [0, 1]\} \subseteq [0, 1] \times U.$$

Then  $F[\{x\}] = \{e_\xi, e_{q(x)}\} \in \mathcal{K}$  for every  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Now  $F$  is scalarly hull-measurable. **P** If  $f \in U^*$ , there is a  $v \in U$  such that  $f(u) = (u|v)$  for every  $u \in U$ . In this case  $f[F[\{x\}]] = \{v(q(x)), v(\xi)\}$  for every  $x$ . Let  $J$  be the countable set  $\{\eta : v(\eta) \neq 0\}$ . For  $x \in [0, 1] \setminus q^{-1}[J]$ ,  $\sup f[F[\{x\}]] = \max(0, v(\xi))$ ; so  $x \mapsto \sup f[F[\{x\}]]$  is constant on the co-countable set  $[0, 1] \setminus q^{-1}[J]$ , and is measurable. **Q**

On the other hand, if we set  $\phi(x) = \theta(F[\{x\}])$  for  $x \in [0, 1]$ , and  $g(u) = u(\xi)$  for  $u \in U$ , then

$$\{x : g(\phi(x)) = 1\} = \{x : \phi(x) = e_\xi\} = q^{-1}[\{\xi\} \cup D_1] = A \cup q^{-1}[\{\xi\}]$$

is non-measurable, so  $g\phi$  is not measurable and  $\phi$  is not scalarly measurable.

This shows that there is no selector  $\theta$  for  $\mathcal{K}$  which will generate scalarly measurable selectors of the type found in Theorem 1D and Proposition 2B.

## 2E Supplementary problems

The example in 2D leaves the following questions open.

**(a)** Let  $U$  be a metrizable locally convex linear topological space, and  $\mathcal{K}_c$  the family of non-empty convex weakly compact subsets of  $U$ . Must there be a function  $\theta : \mathcal{K}_c \rightarrow U$  such that  $\theta(K) \in K$  for every  $K \in \mathcal{K}_c$  and whenever  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  is a complete strictly localizable space and  $F \subseteq X \times U$  is a scalarly hull-measurable function such that  $F[\{x\}] \in \mathcal{K}_c$  for every  $x \in X$ , then  $x \mapsto \theta(F[\{x\}])$  is scalarly measurable?

**(b)** Let  $U$  be a metrizable locally convex linear topological space of density  $\omega_1$ , and  $\mathcal{K}$  the family of all non-empty weakly compact subsets of  $U$ . Must there be a function  $\theta : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow U$  such that  $\theta(K) \in K$  for every  $K \in \mathcal{K}$  and whenever  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  is a complete strictly localizable space and  $F \subseteq X \times U$  is a scalarly hull-measurable function such that  $F[\{x\}] \in \mathcal{K}_c$  for every  $x \in X$ , then  $x \mapsto \theta(F[\{x\}])$  is scalarly measurable?

**Remark** In both problems, it would be interesting if one could find a positive answer for Banach spaces  $U$ ; and in (a), for Hilbert spaces  $U$ . Note that in (b) we already have an answer for separable  $U$  and for reflexive Banach spaces of density  $\omega_1$ , since they satisfy the conditions of Proposition 2B. Of course only probability spaces  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  need to be considered in either case. One would anticipate however that a positive answer to either question would be associated with a generalization to the case of a set  $X$  with a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\Sigma$  not involving any measure, as in Proposition 2B.

**Acknowledgements** Hospitality of the conference ‘Integration, Vector Measures and Related Topics IV’, Murcia, 2-5 March 2011; correspondence with V.Kadets and J.Rodríguez.

### References

Cascales B., Kadets V. & Rodríguez J. [09] ‘Measurable selectors and set-valued Pettis integral in non-separable Banach spaces’, *J. Functional Anal.* 256 (2009) 673-699.

Cascales B., Kadets V. & Rodríguez J. [10] ‘Measurability and selections of multi-functions in Banach spaces’, *J. Convex Anal.* 17 (2010) 229-240.

Fremlin D.H. [00] *Measure Theory, Vol. 1: The Irreducible Minimum*. Torres Fremlin, 2000.

Fremlin D.H. [01] *Measure Theory, Vol. 2: Broad Foundations*. Torres Fremlin, 2001.

Fremlin D.H. [03] *Measure Theory, Vol. 4: Topological Measure Spaces*. Torres Fremlin, 2003.

Kuratowski K. & Ryll-Nardzewski C. [65] ‘A general theorem on selectors’, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys.*, 13 (1965) 397-403.