

Errata and addenda for Volume 2, 2010 edition

I collect here known errors and omissions, with their discoverers, in Volume 2 of my book *Measure Theory* (<http://www1.essex.ac.uk/math/people/fremlin/mt2.2010/index.htm>).

- p 16 l 11** In Exercise 211Xa, we have to assume that μ is semi-finite. (D.L.Wynter.)
- p 16 l 31** Exercise 211Xf is virtually a repetition of 211Xd, and has been dropped. (P.Klinger Monteiro.)
- p 1** Exercise 211Yd now reads
(d) Let (X, Σ, μ) be a strictly localizable measure space. Show that the following are equivalent: (i) (X, Σ, μ) is atomless; (ii) for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a decomposition of X consisting of sets of measure at most ϵ ; (iii) there is a measurable function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mu f^{-1}[\{t\}] = 0$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
- p 22 l 24** (212X) Add new exercise:
(j) Let (X, Σ, μ) be a complete measure space and $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a function such that $\int f d\mu < \infty$. Show that there is a measurable function $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(x) \leq g(x)$ for every $x \in X$ and $\int g d\mu = \int f d\mu$.
- p 22 l 33** (212Y) Add new exercise:
(b) Let (X, Σ, μ) be a strictly localizable measure space. Suppose that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a disjoint family $\langle D_i \rangle_{i < n}$ of subsets of full outer measure. Show that there is a disjoint sequence $\langle D_n \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of sets of full outer measure.
- p 24 l 26** (part (a) of the proof of 213D): for ' $H \cap E = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H \cap H_n$ ' read ' $H \cap E = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H_n \cap E$ '. (T.D.Austin)
- p 25 l 3** (part (d) of the proof of 213D): for ' (X, Σ, μ) ' read ' $(X, \tilde{\Sigma}, \tilde{\mu})$ '. (K.Yates.)
- p 25 l 18** Add new fragment to 213Fc:
 $\tilde{\mu}H = \sup\{\mu E : E \in \Sigma, \mu E < \infty, E \subseteq H\}$ for every $H \in \tilde{\Sigma}$.
- p 27 l 9** In part (b-ii) of the proof of 213H I wrote ' $\mu(E \setminus (F \setminus E_0)) \leq \tilde{\mu}(H \setminus (F \cap G))$ '. This is supposed to be because $E \setminus (F \setminus E_0) \subseteq H \setminus (F \cap G)$ and $\mu(E \setminus (F \setminus E_0)) = \tilde{\mu}(E \setminus (F \setminus E_0))$. The latter assumes the result of (c) just below.
- p 30 l 21** Part (v) of Exercise 213Xa is wrong, and should be deleted. (A.Gouberman.)
- p 31 l 13** Other exercises in §213 have been rearranged: 213Xh-213Xi are now 213Xj-213Xk, 213Xj-213Xk are now 213Xi-213Xj, 213Ya-213Ye are now 213Yb-213Yf, 213Yf is now 213Ya.
- p 31 l 15** (Exercise 213Xi, now 213Xk): for ' $\max(\mu^*(E \cap A), \mu^*(E \setminus A))$ ' read ' $\min(\mu^*(E \cap A), \mu^*(E \setminus A))$ '.
- p 31 l 18** Exercises 213Xj-213Xk (now 213Xh-213Xi) have been rewritten, and are now
(h) Let (X, Σ, μ) be a measure space with locally determined measurable sets. Show that it is semi-finite.
(i) Let (X, Σ, μ) be a measure space, $\hat{\mu}$ the completion of μ , $\tilde{\mu}$ the c.l.d. version of μ and $\check{\mu}$ the measure defined by Carathéodory's method from μ^* . Show that the following are equivalent: (i) μ has locally determined negligible sets; (ii) μ and $\tilde{\mu}$ have the same negligible sets; (iii) $\check{\mu} = \tilde{\mu}$; (iv) $\hat{\mu}$ and $\tilde{\mu}$ have the same sets of finite measure; (v) μ and $\tilde{\mu}$ have the same integrable functions; (vi) $\tilde{\mu}^* = \mu^*$; (vii) the outer measure μ_{sf}^* of 213Xg is equal to μ^* .

Extract from MEASURE THEORY, results-only version, by D.H.FREMLIN, University of Essex, Colchester. This material is copyright. It is issued under the terms of the Design Science License as published in <http://dsl.org/copyleft/dsl.txt>. This is a development version and the source files are not permanently archived, but current versions are normally accessible through <https://www1.essex.ac.uk/math/people/fremlin/mt.htm>. For further information contact david@fremlin.org.

p 32 l 9 (Exercise 213Yd, now 213Ye): for ‘ $\max(\mu^*\{x : x \in D \cap E, f(x) \leq a\}, \mu^*\{x : x \in D \cap E, f(x) \geq b\}) < \mu E$ ’ read ‘ $\min(\mu^*\{x : x \in D \cap E, f(x) \leq a\}, \mu^*\{x : x \in D \cap E, f(x) \geq b\}) < \mu E$ ’.

p 41 l 21 Part of Exercise 214Xd has been brought into the main exposition, as follows:

***214Q Proposition** Suppose that (X, Σ, μ) is an atomless measure space and Y a subset of X such that the subspace measure μ_Y is semi-finite. Then μ_Y is atomless.

p 1 (214X) Add new exercise:

(o) Let (X, Σ, μ) be a measure space and $\langle X_n \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of subsets of X such that $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_n$ has full outer measure on X . Suppose that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have a set $A_n \subseteq X_n$ of full outer measure for the subspace measure on X_n , Show that $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$ has full outer measure in X .

p 42 l 27 (214Y) Add new exercise:

(d) For this exercise only, I will say that a measure μ on a set X is **nowhere all-measuring** if whenever $A \subseteq X$ is not μ -negligible there is a subset of A which is not measured by the subspace measure on A . Show that if X is a set and μ_0, \dots, μ_n are nowhere all-measuring complete totally finite measures on X , then there are disjoint $A_0, \dots, A_n \subseteq X$ such that $\mu_i^* A_i = \mu_i X$ for every $i \leq n$.

(e) Let (X, Σ, μ) be a measure space and \mathcal{A} a disjoint family of subsets of X . Show that there is a measure on X , extending μ , which measures every member of \mathcal{A} .

p 43 l 37 (part (b) of the proof of 215A): for ‘If G is any measurable set such that $E \setminus F$ is negligible for every $E \in \mathcal{E}$ ’ read ‘If G is any measurable set such that $E \setminus G$ is negligible for every $E \in \mathcal{E}$ ’. (P.K.M.)

p 45 l 21 Add new result:

215E Proposition Let (X, Σ, μ) be an atomless measure space and $x \in X$.

(a) If $\mu^*\{x\}$ is finite then $\{x\}$ is negligible.

(b) If μ has locally determined negligible sets then $\{x\}$ is negligible.

(c) If μ is localizable then $\{x\}$ is negligible.

p 51 l 27 (216Y) Add new exercise:

(e) Show that there is a complete atomless semi-finite measure space with a singleton subset which is not negligible.

p 1 (Definition 222J) For ‘ $\bar{D}^+(x)$ ’, ‘ $\underline{D}^+(x)$ ’, ‘ $\bar{D}^-(x)$ ’, ‘ $\underline{D}^-(x)$ ’ read ‘ $\bar{D}^+ f(x)$ ’, ‘ $\underline{D}^+ f(x)$ ’, ‘ $\bar{D}^- f(x)$ ’, ‘ $\underline{D}^- f(x)$ ’. (P.K.M.)

p 60 l 17 (statement of Lemma 222K): for ‘ $A \setminus A^*$ ’ read ‘ $A \setminus A^+$ ’.

p 60 l 27 (statement of Theorem 222L): for ‘or $(\bar{D}^+ f)(x) = (\underline{D}^- f)(x)$ is finite, $(\underline{D}^+ f)(x) = -\infty$ and $(\bar{D}^+ f)(x) = \infty$ ’ read ‘or $(\bar{D}^+ f)(x) = (\underline{D}^- f)(x)$ is finite, $(\underline{D}^+ f)(x) = -\infty$ and $(\bar{D}^- f)(x) = \infty$ ’. (P.K.M.)

p 60 l 30 P.Klinger Monteiro has pointed out that there is a blunder in the proof of Theorem 222L. The following is a corrected version of part (a) of the proof.

(a)(i) Suppose that $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ are such that

$$E_{qn} = \{x : x \in A, x < q, f(y) \geq f(x) - n(y - x)\} \text{ for every } y \in A \cap [x, q]$$

is not empty. Set $\beta_{qn} = \sup E_{qn} \in]-\infty, q]$, $\alpha_{qn} = \inf E_{qn} \in [-\infty, \beta_{qn}]$ and $I_{qn} =]\alpha_{qn}, \beta_{qn}[$; now for $x \in I_{qn}$ set $f_{qn}(x) = \inf\{f(y) + ny : y \in A \cap [x, q]\}$. Note that if $x \in E_{qn} \setminus \{\alpha_{qn}, \beta_{qn}\}$ then $f_{qn}(x) = f(x) + nx$ is finite; also f_{qn} is non-decreasing, therefore finite everywhere in I_{qn} , and of course $f_{qn}(x) \leq f(x) + nx$ for every $x \in A \cap I_{qn}$.

Set $F_{qn} = E_{qn} \cap \text{dom } f'_{qn} \subseteq I_{qn}$, and $g_{qn}(x) = f_{qn}(x) - nx$ for $x \in I_{qn}$; then g_{qn} is differentiable at every point of F_{qn} , while $g_{qn}(x) \leq f(x)$ for $x \in A \cap I_{qn}$ and $g_{qn}(x) = f(x)$ for $x \in E_{qn} \cap I_{qn}$.

(ii) Take $x \in \tilde{F}_{qn}^+ \cap \tilde{F}_{qn}^-$. Then $x \in I_{qn} \cap \tilde{A}^+ \cap \tilde{A}^-$ so the Dini derivatives $(\underline{D}^+ f)(x)$ and $(\bar{D}^- f)(x)$ are defined in $[-\infty, \infty]$, while $g_{qn}(x) = f(x)$.

(α) $(\underline{D}^+ f)(x) = g'_{qn}(x)$. **P**

$$\begin{aligned} (\underline{D}^+ f)(x) &= \sup_{\delta > 0} \inf_{y \in A \cap]x, x+\delta]} \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x} \leq \sup_{\delta > 0} \inf_{y \in E_{qn} \cap]x, x+\delta]} \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x} \\ &= \sup_{\delta > 0} \inf_{y \in E_{qn} \cap]x, x+\delta]} \frac{g_{qn}(y) - g_{qn}(x)}{y - x} = g'_{qn}(x) \end{aligned}$$

(because $x \in \tilde{E}_{qn}^+$)

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sup_{0 < \delta < \beta_{qn} - x} \inf_{y \in]x, x+\delta]} \frac{g_{qn}(y) - g_{qn}(x)}{y - x} \\ &\leq \sup_{0 < \delta < \beta_{qn} - x} \inf_{y \in A \cap]x, x+\delta]} \frac{g_{qn}(y) - f(x)}{y - x} \\ &\leq \sup_{0 < \delta < \beta_{qn} - x} \inf_{y \in A \cap]x, x+\delta]} \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x} \end{aligned}$$

(because $g_{qn}(y) \leq f(y)$ for $y \in A \cap I_{qn}$)

$$\leq \sup_{\delta > 0} \inf_{y \in A \cap]x, x+\delta]} \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x} = (\underline{D}^+ f)(x). \quad \mathbf{Q}$$

(β) $(\overline{D}^- f)(x) = g'_{qn}(x)$. **P**

$$\begin{aligned} (\overline{D}^- f)(x) &= \inf_{\delta > 0} \sup_{y \in A \cap [x-\delta, x[} \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x} = \inf_{\delta > 0} \sup_{y \in A \cap [x-\delta, x[} \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y} \\ &\leq \inf_{0 < \delta < x - \alpha_{qn}} \sup_{y \in A \cap [x-\delta, x[} \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y} \\ &\leq \inf_{0 < \delta < x - \alpha_{qn}} \sup_{y \in A \cap [x-\delta, x[} \frac{g_{qn}(x) - g_{qn}(y)}{x - y} \end{aligned}$$

(because $g_{qn}(y) \leq f(y)$ for $y \in A \cap I_{qn}$)

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \inf_{0 < \delta < x - \alpha_{qn}} \sup_{y \in [x-\delta, x[} \frac{g_{qn}(y) - g_{qn}(x)}{y - x} = g'_{qn}(x) \\ &= \inf_{\delta > 0} \sup_{y \in E_{qn} \cap [x-\delta, x[} \frac{g_{qn}(y) - g_{qn}(x)}{y - x} \end{aligned}$$

(because $x \in \tilde{E}_{qn}^-$)

$$\begin{aligned} &= \inf_{\delta > 0} \sup_{y \in E_{qn} \cap [x-\delta, x[} \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x} \\ &\leq \inf_{\delta > 0} \sup_{y \in A \cap [x-\delta, x[} \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x} = (\overline{D}^- f)(x). \quad \mathbf{Q} \end{aligned}$$

(γ) Putting these together, we see that if $x \in \tilde{F}_{qn}^+ \cap \tilde{F}_{qn}^-$ then $(\underline{D}^+ f)(x) = (\overline{D}^- f)(x) = g'_{qn}(x)$ is finite.

(iii) Conventionally setting $F_{qn} = \emptyset$ if E_{qn} is empty, the last sentence is true for all $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now we know that $A \setminus \tilde{A}^+$ is negligible (222K), as are $F_{qn} \setminus \tilde{F}_{qn}^+$, $F_{qn} \setminus \tilde{F}_{qn}^-$ and $I_{qn} \setminus \text{dom } f'_{qn}$ (222A), whenever $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So $H = (A \setminus \tilde{A}^+) \cup \bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{Q}, n \in \mathbb{N}} ((E_{qn} \setminus F_{qn}) \cup (F_{qn} \setminus (\tilde{F}_{qn}^+ \cap \tilde{F}_{qn}^-)))$ is negligible. And if $x \in A \setminus H$ and $(\overline{D}^+ f)(x) > -\infty$, then $(\underline{D}^+ f)(x) = (\overline{D}^- f)(x) \in \mathbb{R}$. **P** As $A \setminus \tilde{A}^+ \subseteq H$, $x \in \tilde{A}^+$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $(\overline{D}^+ f)(x) > -n$. Then there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $\frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x} > -n$ whenever $y \in A$ and $x < y \leq x + \delta$. Let $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ be such that $x < q \leq x + \delta$; then $f(y) \geq f(x) - n(y - x)$ whenever $y \in A \cap [x, q]$, and $x \in E_{qn} \setminus H$. So $x \in F_{qn} \setminus H \subseteq \tilde{F}_{qn}^+ \cap \tilde{F}_{qn}^-$. So (ii) above tells us that $(\underline{D}^+ f)(x) = (\overline{D}^- f)(x) = g'_{qn}(x)$ is finite. **Q**

p 66 l 37 (223Y) Add new exercise:

bf (i) Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negligible measurable set. Show that 0 belongs to the interior of $E - E = \{x - y : x, y \in E\}$.

p 1 (part (c) of the proof of 224C): for ' $\sum_{i=1}^{m+n+1} |f(a_i) - f(a_{i-1})| \leq \text{Var}_{[a,b]}(f)$ ' read ' $\sum_{i=1}^{m+n+1} |f(a_i) - f(a_{i-1})| \leq \text{Var}_D(f)$ '. (K.Y.)

p 74 l 6 (224X) Add new exercises:

(k) Suppose that $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function. Show that f is expressible as a difference of non-decreasing functions iff $\text{Var}_{D \cap [a,b]}(f)$ is finite whenever $a \leq b$ in D .

(l) Suppose that $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and that $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function of bounded variation. Show that f is expressible as the difference of two continuous non-decreasing functions.

(m) Suppose that $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and that $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function of bounded variation which is continuous on the right, that is, whenever $x \in D$ and $\epsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $|f(t) - f(x)| \leq \epsilon$ for every $t \in D \cap [x, x + \delta]$. Show that f is expressible as the difference of two non-decreasing functions which are continuous on the right.

p 1 (224Y) Add new exercise:

(k) Let $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function, where $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Show that the following are equiveridical: (α) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(t_n)$ is defined for every monotonic sequence $\langle t_n \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in D ; (β) for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a function $g : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of bounded variation such that $|f(t) - g(t)| \leq \epsilon$ for every $t \in D$.

p 77 l 31 (part (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) of the proof of 225E: for ' $G = F + F(a)$ ' read ' $G = F - F(a)$ '.

p 78 l 7 The former exercise 225Xo has been absorbed into 225G, which now reads

Proposition Let $[a, b]$ be a non-empty closed interval in \mathbb{R} and $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ an absolutely continuous function.

(a) $f[A]$ is negligible for every negligible set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.

(b) $f[E]$ is measurable for every measurable set $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.

p 80 l 15 (part (b-ii) of the proof of 225J) There is a confusion over the definition of $H(k, p, q, q')$; if we change this to

$$\begin{aligned} H(k, p, q, q') &= \emptyset \text{ if }]q, q'[\not\subseteq D, \\ &= \{x : x \in E \cap]q, q'[, |f(y) - f(x) - p(y - x)| \leq 2^{-k}|y - x| \\ &\quad \text{for every } y \in]q, q'[\} \\ &\text{if }]q, q'[\subseteq D, \end{aligned}$$

the argument runs more naturally, the next displayed formula becoming

$$\begin{aligned} |f(y) - f(x) - p(y - x)| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f(y) - f(x_n) - p(y - x_n)| \\ &\leq 2^{-k} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-k}|y - x_n| = 2^{-k}|y - x|. \end{aligned}$$

Next, the set E need not be itself Borel, but as it is relatively Borel in D we see that $E \cap]q, q'[\$ will be a Borel set whenever $]q, q'[\subseteq D$, so that $H(k, p, q, q')$ is indeed a Borel set, as claimed.

p 83 l 5 The exercises for §225 have been rearranged: 225Xb is now 225Xl, 225Xc-225Xd are now 225Xb-225Xc, 225Xe is now 225Xn, 225Xf-225Xm are now 225Xd-225Xk, 225Xn is now 225Xm, 225Xo is now 225Gb.

Add a third part to 225Xb (now 225Xl):

(iii) Show that if $g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is absolutely continuous and $\inf_{x \in [a, b]} |g(x)| > 0$ then f/g is absolutely continuous.

p 84 l 14 (225Y) Add new exercise:

(d) Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function which is absolutely continuous on every bounded interval. Show that $\text{Var } f \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{Var } f' + \int |f|$.

Other exercises have been moved: 225Yd-225Yf are now 225Ye-225Yg.

p 88 l 5 (part (d) of 226B): for ‘ $x < t_1 \leq t_2 \leq y$ ’ read ‘ $x < t_1 \leq t_2 < y$ ’.

p 90 l 35 (proof of 226E): for ‘ $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^n \int f_i = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \int f_i$ ’ read ‘ $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^n \int f_i = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \int f_i$ ’.

p 90 l 36 (226X) Add new exercise:

(g)(i) Show that a continuous bijection $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is either strictly increasing or strictly decreasing, and that its inverse is continuous. (ii) Show that if $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a continuous bijection, then $f' = 0$ a.e. in $[0, 1]$ iff there is a conegligible set $E \subseteq [0, 1]$ such that $f[E]$ is negligible, and that in this case f^{-1} has the same property. (iii) Construct a function satisfying the conditions of (ii). (*Hint*: try $f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n-1} f_n$ where each f_n is a variation on the Cantor function.) (iv) Repeat (iii) with $f = f^{-1}$.

Other exercises have been rearranged: 226Xa-226Xe are now 226Xb-226Xf, 226Xf is now 226Xa.

Other exercises have been rearranged: 226Ya-226Yb are now 226Yb-226Yc, 226Yc is now 226Ya.

p 92 l 36 Part (d) of 231B has been absorbed into part (c), with the addition of the formula $\nu E + \nu F = \nu(E \cup F) + \nu(E \cap F)$.

p 101 l 7 (part (a) of the statement of 232I): for ‘ ν_s is singular with respect to ν ’ read ‘ ν_s is singular with respect to μ ’.

p 103 l 4 The exercises 232X have been rearranged; 232Xc is now 232Xg, 232Xg is now 232Xh, 232Xh is now 232Xc.

p 103 l 16 (Exercise 232Xf): for ‘ ν is additive and absolutely continuous with respect to μ_2 ’ read ‘ ν is additive and absolutely continuous with respect to μ_1 ’. (K.Y.)

p 103 l 28 The exercises 232Y have been rearranged: 232Yb-232Yd are now 232Yh-232Yj, 232Ye is now 232Yb, 232Yf is now 232Yd, 232Yg is now 232Yk, 232Yh-232Yj are now 232Ye-232Yg, 232Yk is now 232Yc.

p 131 l 46 (235X) Add new exercise:

(n) Let (X, Σ, μ) and (Y, T, ν) be measure spaces, and $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ an inverse-measure-preserving function. Show that $\int h \phi d\mu \leq \int h d\nu$ for every real-valued function h defined almost everywhere in Y .

p 193 l 19 (part (b-i) of the proof of 247C): for ‘ $\|u\|_1 \leq 2 \sup_{F \in \Sigma} |\int_F u| \leq 2(1 + M_0 \mu(F \cap E_0))$ ’ read ‘ $\|u\|_1 \leq 2 \sup_{F \in \Sigma} |\int_F u| \leq 2 \sup_{F \in \Sigma} (1 + M_0 \mu(F \cap E_0))$ ’.

p 197 l 21 (part (a) of the proof of 251E): for ‘ $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu E_n \cdot \nu E_n \leq \theta A + \epsilon$ ’ read ‘ $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu E_n \cdot \nu F_n \leq \theta A + \epsilon$ ’. (K.Y.)

p 218 l 19 (Corollary 252H) Add new part:

(b) Let f be a Λ -measurable $[0, \infty]$ -valued function defined on a member of Λ . Then

$$\int_{X \times Y} f(x, y) \lambda(d(x, y)) = \int_Y \int_X f(x, y) \mu(dx) \nu(dy) = \int_X \int_Y f(x, y) \nu(dy) \mu(dx)$$

in the sense that if one of the integrals is defined in $[0, \infty]$ so are the other two, and all three are then equal.

p 224 l 35 (252X) Add new exercise:

(j) Let (X, Σ, μ) be a measure space, and $f : X \rightarrow [0, \infty[$ a function. Write \mathcal{B} for the Borel σ -algebra of \mathbb{R} . Show that the following are equiveridical: (α) f is Σ -measurable; (β) $\{(x, a) : x \in X, 0 \leq a \leq f(x)\} \in \Sigma \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{B}$; (γ) $\{(x, a) : x \in X, 0 \leq a < f(x)\} \in \Sigma \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{B}$.

p 241 l 15 (part (e) of the proof of 254F): for

$$\lambda(V \setminus W') + \lambda(V \setminus W) = \lambda V - \lambda W + \lambda(V \setminus W) = \theta V - \theta W + \theta(V \setminus W)'$$

read

$$\lambda(V \setminus W') + \lambda(V \setminus W) = \lambda V - \lambda W + \lambda(V \setminus W') = \theta V - \theta W + \theta(V \setminus W)'.$$

p 242 l 40 (254J) Add new parts:

(d) Define addition on X by setting $(x + y)(i) = x(i) +_2 y(i)$ for every $i \in I$, $x, y \in X$, where $0 +_2 0 = 1 +_2 1 = 0$, $0 +_2 1 = 1 +_2 0 = 1$. If $y \in X$, the map $x \mapsto x + y : X \rightarrow X$ is inverse-measure-preserving.

(e) If $\pi : I \rightarrow I$ is any permutation, then we have a corresponding measure space automorphism $x \mapsto x\pi : X \rightarrow X$.

p 243 l 33 (part (b- ϵ) of the proof of 254K): for ' $\phi^{-1}[E] \subseteq V$ ' read ' $\tilde{\phi}^{-1}[E] \subseteq V$ '.

p 247 l 40 (part (a-ii) of the proof of 254P): for ' $W_z = \{y : y \in X_I, (y, z) \in W\}$ ' read ' $W_z = \{y : y \in X_J, (y, z) \in W\}$ '.

p 252 l 44 The exercises for §254 have been rearranged; 254Xi-254Xr are now 254Xj-254Xs, 254Xs is now 254Xi.

p 253 l 23 Part (ii) of Exercise 254Xp (now 254Xq) is wrong, and has been deleted.

p 253 l 43 (254Y) Add new exercises:

(b) Let $\langle (X_i, \Sigma_i, \mu_i) \rangle_{i \in I}$ be any family of measure spaces. Set $X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i$ and let \mathcal{F} be a filter on the set $[I]^{<\omega}$ of finite subsets of I such that $\{J : i \in J \in [I]^{<\omega}\} \in \mathcal{F}$ for every $i \in I$. Show that there is a complete locally determined measure λ on X such that $\lambda(\prod_{i \in I} E_i)$ is defined and equal to $\lim_{J \rightarrow \mathcal{F}} \prod_{i \in J} \mu_i E_i$ whenever $E_i \in \Sigma_i$ for every $i \in I$ and $\lim_{J \rightarrow \mathcal{F}} \prod_{i \in J} \mu_i E_i$ is defined in $[0, \infty[$.

(e) Let $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]^2$ be a function which is inverse-measure-preserving for Lebesgue planar measure on $[0, 1]^2$ and Lebesgue linear measure on $[0, 1]$, as in 134Yl; let f_1, f_2 be the coordinates of f . Define $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$ by setting $g(t) = \langle f_1 f_2^n(t) \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Show that g is inverse-measure-preserving.

254Yb-254Yc are now 254Yc-254Yd, 254Yd-254Yf are now 254Yf-254Yh.

p 270 l 32 Part (b) of 256H has been rewritten, and is now

(b) A point-supported measure on \mathbb{R}^r is a Radon measure iff it is locally finite.

p 282 l 10 The second sentence of Exercise 256Xg should read 'Show that in this case ν is an indefinite-integral measure over Lebesgue measure iff the function $x \mapsto \nu[a, x] : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is absolutely continuous whenever $a \leq b$ in \mathbb{R} '.

p 273 l 15 Exercise 256Xi has been extended, and now reads

(i) Let ν be a Radon measure on \mathbb{R}^r , and ν^* the corresponding outer measure. Show that $\nu A = \inf\{\nu G : G \supseteq A \text{ is open}\}$ for every set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^r$.

p 273 l 39 (256Y) Add new exercise:

(d) (i) Let λ be the usual measure on $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Define $\psi : \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ by setting $\psi(x)(i) = x(i + 1)$ for $x \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Show that ψ is inverse-measure-preserving. (ii) Define $\theta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by setting $\theta(t) = \langle 3t \rangle = 3t - \lfloor 3t \rfloor$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Show that θ is inverse-measure-preserving for Cantor measure as defined in 256Hc.

256Yd-256Ye are now 256Ye-256Yf.

p 276 l 22 (Exercise 257Yb) In the definition of 'Radon measure on $]-\pi, \pi]$ ', I should have said that the measure must be complete.

p 284 l 4 Exercise 261Yj has been elaborated, and now reads

(j)(i) Let \mathcal{C} be the family of those measurable sets $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^r$ such that $\limsup_{\delta \downarrow 0} \frac{\mu(C \cap B(x, \delta))}{\mu B(x, \delta)} > 0$ for every $x \in C$. Show that $\bigcup \mathcal{C}_0 \in \mathcal{C}$ for every $\mathcal{C}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{C}$. (ii) Show that any union of non-trivial closed balls in \mathbb{R}^r is Lebesgue measurable.

p 285 l 13 (262A) Add a final remark:

Evidently a Lipschitz function is uniformly continuous.

p 295 l 24 (262Y) Exercise 262Yb seems to require ideas which are not touched on in this volume, so has been moved to 419Yd. Add new exercise:

(d) Let $\phi : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function, where $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^r$. (i) Show that if ϕ is measurable then all its partial derivatives are measurable. (ii) Show that if ϕ is Borel measurable then all its partial derivatives are Borel measurable.

262Ya is now 262Yb, 262Yd-262Yh are now 262Ye-262Yi, 262Yi is now 262Ya.

p 303 l 21 New result added:

263I Theorem Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^r$ be a measurable set, and $\phi : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^r$ a function differentiable relative to its domain at each point of D . For each $x \in D$ let $T(x)$ be a derivative of ϕ relative to D at x , and set $J(x) = |\det T(x)|$.

(a) Let ν be counting measure on \mathbb{R}^r . Then $\int_{\mathbb{R}^r} \nu(\phi^{-1}[\{y\}]) dy$ and $\int_D J d\mu$ are defined in $[0, \infty]$ and equal.

(b) Let g be a real-valued function defined on a subset of $\phi[D]$ such that $\int_D g(\phi(x)) \det T(x) dx$ is defined in \mathbb{R} , interpreting $g(\phi(x)) \det T(x)$ as zero when $\det T(x) = 0$ and $g(\phi(x))$ is undefined. Set

$$C = \{y : y \in \phi[D], \phi^{-1}[\{y\}] \text{ is finite}\}, \quad R(y) = \sum_{x \in \phi^{-1}[\{y\}]} \operatorname{sgn} \det T(x)$$

for $y \in C$, where $\operatorname{sgn}(0) = 0$ and $\operatorname{sgn}(\alpha) = \frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|}$ for non-zero α . If we interpret $g(y)R(y)$ as zero when $g(y) = 0$ and $R(y)$ is undefined, then $\int_{\phi[D]} g \times R d\mu$ is defined and equal to $\int_D g(\phi(x)) \det T(x) dx$.

263I is now 263J.

p 304 l 12 (part (b) of the proof of 263I, now 263J): for ‘ $= 0$ if $y < v \leq v'$ or $v' \leq v < y$ ’ read ‘ $= 0$ otherwise’.

p 305 l 8 (Exercise 263Xf): for ‘ $\det \phi'(\rho, \theta, \alpha) = \rho^2 \sin \theta$ ’ read ‘ $\det \phi'(\rho, \theta, \alpha) = -\rho^2 \sin \theta$ ’.

p 305 l 25 (Exercise 263Ye): for ‘(ii) $\mu f[[a, b]] \leq \int_a^b d|f'| d\mu$ ’ read ‘(ii) $\mu f[[c, d]] \leq \int_c^d |f'| d\mu$ whenever $a \leq c \leq d \leq b$ ’.

p 305 l 27 (263Y) Add new exercise:

(f) Suppose that $r = 2$ and that $\phi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is continuously differentiable with non-singular derivative T at $\mathbf{0}$. (i) Show that there is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that whenever Γ is a small circle with centre $\mathbf{0}$ and radius at most ϵ then $\phi|_{\Gamma}$ is a homeomorphism between Γ and a simple closed curve around $\mathbf{0}$. (ii) Show that if $\det T > 0$, then for such circles $\phi(x)$ runs anticlockwise around $\phi[\Gamma]$ as x runs anticlockwise around Γ . (iii) What happens if $\det T < 0$?

p 307 l 29 (part (c) of the proof of 264B): for ‘a sequence $\langle A_{nm} \rangle_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ of sets, covering A , with $\operatorname{diam} A_{nm} \leq \delta$ for every m and $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (\operatorname{diam} A_{nm})^r \leq \theta_r \delta + 2^{-n} \epsilon$ ’ read ‘a sequence $\langle A_{nm} \rangle_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ of sets, covering A_n , with $\operatorname{diam} A_{nm} \leq \delta$ for every m and $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (\operatorname{diam} A_{nm})^r \leq \theta_r \delta A_n + 2^{-n} \epsilon$ ’. (F.Priuli.)

p 319 l 30 (part (b) of the proof of 265E): for ‘ $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} J \mu_r^* D_n + \epsilon \mu_r^* D_n$ ’ read ‘ $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} J_n \mu_r^* D_n + \epsilon \mu_r^* D_n$ ’.

p 320 l 8 (part (c) of the proof of 265E): for ‘ $\nu_r^* \phi[D] = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu_r^* \phi[D \cap B_k]$ ’ read ‘ $\nu_r^* \phi[D] = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \nu_r^* \phi[D \cap B_k]$ ’.

p 320 l 11 (part (d) of the proof of 265E): for ‘ $\psi(x) = (\phi(x), \eta x)$ ’ read ‘ $\psi_{\eta}(x) = (\phi(x), \eta x)$ ’.

p 323 l 30 (265X) Add new exercise:

(f) Suppose that $r \geq 2$. Identifying \mathbb{R}^r with $\mathbb{R}^{r-1} \times \mathbb{R}$, let C_r be the cylinder $B_{r-1} \times [-1, 1] \supseteq B_r$, and $\partial C_r = (B_{r-1} \times \{-1, 1\}) \cup (S_{r-2} \times [-1, 1])$ its boundary. Show that

$$\frac{\mu_r B_r}{\mu_r C_r} = \frac{\nu_{r-1} S_{r-1}}{\nu_{r-1} (\partial C_r)}.$$

p 327 l 31 (266X) Add new exercises:

(d) Show that if $r \geq 1$, μ is Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^r and A_0, \dots, A_n are non-empty subsets of \mathbb{R}^r , then $\mu^*(A_0 + \dots + A_n)^{1/r} \geq \sum_{i=0}^n (\mu^* A_i)^{1/r}$.

(e) In 266C, show that if $p \in [0, 1]$ then (subject to an appropriate interpretation of ∞^0) $\mu^*(pA + (1-p)B) \geq (\mu^* A)^p (\mu^* B)^{1-p}$.

p 349 I 22 The statement of Lemma 273Cb now reads

Let $\langle x_n \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be such that $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i$ is defined in \mathbb{R} , and $\langle b_n \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ a non-decreasing sequence in $[0, \infty[$ diverging to ∞ . Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b_n} \sum_{k=0}^n b_k x_k = 0$.

p 360 I 4 (273X) Add new exercise:

(o) Let (X, Σ, μ) be a probability space and $\langle E_n \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ an independent sequence in Σ such that $\alpha = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu E_n$ is defined. For $x \in X$ set $I_x = \{n : x \in E_n\}$. Show that I_x has asymptotic density α for almost every x .

p 365 I 6 (part (d) of the proof of 274F): for ' $Z = Z_0 + \dots + Z_n$ ' read ' $Z = \sigma_0 Z_0 + \dots + \sigma_n Z_n$ '.

p 369 I 15 (part (a) of the proof of 274M): for ' $\int_0^{\infty} e^{-(x+s)^2/2} ds \geq e^{-x^2/2} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-xs} ds$ ' read ' $\int_0^{\infty} e^{-(x+s)^2/2} ds \leq e^{-x^2/2} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-xs} ds$ '. (M.R.Burke.)

p 369 I 24 Exercise 274Xc (now 274Xd) seems a bit optimistic as it stands, and I have re-written it in a safer form:

(d) Let $\langle m_k \rangle_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a strictly increasing sequence in \mathbb{N} such that $m_0 = 0$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} m_k/m_{k+1} = 0$. Let $\langle X_n \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an independent sequence of random variables such that $\Pr(X_n = \sqrt{m_k}) = \Pr(X_n = -\sqrt{m_k}) = 1/2m_k$, $\Pr(X_n = 0) = 1 - 1/m_k$ whenever $m_{k-1} \leq n < m_k$. Show that the Central Limit Theorem is not valid for $\langle X_n \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. (Hint: setting $W_k = (X_0 + \dots + X_{m_k-1})/\sqrt{m_k}$, show that $\Pr(W_k \in [\epsilon, 1 - \epsilon]) \rightarrow 0$ for every $\epsilon > 0$.)

Other exercises have been moved: 274Xb-274Xh are now 274Xc-274Xi, 274Xi is now 274Xb, 274Xj is now 274Ya, 274Xk-274Xl are now 274Xj-274Xk.

p 370 I 22 (274Y) Add new exercise:

(b) Show that for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is a smooth function $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $\chi_{]-\infty, -\epsilon]} \leq h \leq \chi_{[\epsilon, \infty[}$.

Other exercises have been moved: 274Ya-274Ye are now 274Yc-274Yg.

p 374 I 5 (part (a) of the proof of 275F): for ' $r_u \leq k < s_{u+1}$ ' read ' $r_{u+1} \leq k < s_{u+1}$ '.

p 379 I 36 (Exercise 275Xe) for ' $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P_n u - u\|_p = 0$ ' read ' $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P_n u - P_{\infty} u\|_p = 0$ '.

p 380 I 1 (275X) Add new exercise:

(i) Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a probability space, with completion $(\Omega, \hat{\Sigma}, \hat{\mu})$, and $\langle \Sigma_n \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ a non-decreasing sequence of σ -subalgebras of $\hat{\Sigma}$. Show that if $\langle \tau_i \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of stopping times adapted to $\langle \Sigma_n \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, and we set $\tau(\omega) = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \tau_i(\omega)$ for $\omega \in \Omega$, then τ is a stopping time adapted to $\langle \Sigma_n \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Other exercises have been re-named; 275Xi-275Xk are now 275Xj-275Xl.

p 380 I 11 The exercises 275Y have been rearranged; 275Ya-275Yj are now 275Yb-275Yk, 275Yk-275Yl are now 275Yo-275Yp, 275Ym is now 275Ya, 275Yn-275Yo are now 275Yq-275Yr, 275Yp-275Yr are now 275Yl-275Yn.

p 380 I 15 Part (ii) of Exercise 275Ya (now 275Yb) is wrong, and has been replaced by

(ii) Defining X_n/Z_n as in 121E, so that its domain is $\{\omega : \omega \in \text{dom } X_n \cap \text{dom } Z_n, Z_n(\omega) \neq 0\}$, show that $\langle X_n/Z_n \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a martingale with respect to the measure ν .

p 380 I 28 Exercise 275Yd (now 275Ye) has been rewritten, and is now

(e) (i) Show that if $a \geq 0$ and $b \geq 1$ then $a \ln b \leq a \ln^+ a + \frac{b}{e}$, where $\ln^+ a = 0$ if $a \leq 1$, $\ln a$ if $a \geq 1$. (ii) Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a complete probability space and X, Y non-negative random variables on Ω such that $t\mu F_t \leq \int_{F_t} X$ for every $t \geq 0$, where $F_t = \{\omega : Y(\omega) \geq t\}$. Show that $\int_{F_1} Y \leq \int_{F_1} X \times \ln^+ Y$, and hence that $\mathbb{E}(Y) \leq \frac{e}{e-1}(1 + \mathbb{E}(X \times \ln^+ X))$. (iii) Show that if $\langle X_n \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a martingale on Ω , $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $X^* = \sup_{i \leq n} |X_i|$, then $\mathbb{E}(X^*) \leq \frac{e}{e-1}(1 + \mathbb{E}(|X_n| \times \ln^+ |X_n|))$.

p 380 l 37 (Exercise 275Yf, now 275Yg): properly speaking, the phrase ‘semi-martingale’ means something much more general, and should be deleted at this point.

p 389 l 6 (276X) Add new exercise:

(h) In 276B, show that $\mathbb{E}((\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} X_n)^2) \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}(X_n^2)$.

p 392 l 7 (part (b) of the proof of 281B): for ‘Then there are $f_1, g_1 \in \bar{A}$ ’ read ‘Then there are $f_1, g_1 \in A$ ’.
(J.Grahl.)

p 394 l 27 (part (b) of the proof of 281G): for ‘ $\eta = \min(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\epsilon M}{6M+4})$ ’ read ‘ $\eta = \min(\frac{1}{2}, M, \frac{1}{6}\epsilon)$ ’.

p 396 l 11 (remark following the statement of 281N): for ‘the condition ‘ η_1, \dots, η_r are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} ’ read ‘the condition ‘ $1, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_r$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} ’.

p 398 l 19 (part (f) of the proof of 281N): for ‘ $0 \leq \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}$ ’ read ‘ $0 < \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}$ ’

p 400 l 28 (Exercise 281Ym) for ‘ $\sum_{k=l}^{k+n} f(t_k)$ ’ read ‘ $\sum_{k=l}^{l+n} f(t_k)$ ’

p 424 l 7 (proof of 283G): for

$$\hat{f}(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{iyx} f(x) dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{iyx} g(x) dx = \hat{g}(y)$$

read

$$\hat{f}(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-iyx} f(x) dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-iyx} g(x) dx = \hat{g}(y).$$

p 429 l 8 (part (b) of the the proof of 283N): for ‘ $\frac{1}{\sigma} \hat{\psi}_{1/\sigma}(y)$ ’ read ‘ $\frac{1}{\sigma} \psi_{1/\sigma}(y)$ ’.

p 430 l 7 The exercises in 283W have been rearranged: 283Wb-283Wc are now 283Wc-283Wd, 283Wd-283Wg are now 283Wh-283Wk, 283Wh-283Wj are now 283We-283Wg, 283Wk is now 283Wl, 283Wl is now 283Wb.

p 432 l 16 (part (i) of Exercise 283Xm): for ‘ $\int_0^a \tilde{f}_x(y) dy$ ’ read ‘ $\int_0^a \hat{f}_x(y) dy$ ’.

p 432 l 33 Exercise 283Xq has been moved to 283Yb. 283Xr-283Xu are now 283Xq-283Xt, 283Yb-283Yd are now 283Yc-283Ye.

p 433 l 22 (part (vi) of Exercise 283Yb, now 283Yc): for ‘ $2\sqrt{2\pi}f(1)$ ’ read ‘ $2\sqrt{2\pi}f(0)$ ’.

p 433 l 28 (part (ix) of Exercise 283Yb, now 283Yc): for ‘ $h_2(1) \leq \epsilon$ ’ read ‘ $h_2(0) \leq \epsilon$ ’.

p 420 l 16 (part (e) of the statement of 283C): for ‘ $\hat{h}(y) = \frac{1}{c} \hat{f}(cy)$ ’ read ‘ $\hat{h}(y) = \frac{1}{c} \hat{f}(\frac{y}{c})$ ’.

p 440 l 6 (part (c-ii) of the proof of 284L): for

$$\sigma \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \left(\frac{\Phi(\delta)}{\delta^2} - \frac{\Phi(\sigma)}{\sigma} + \int_{\sigma}^{\delta} \frac{2\Phi(t)}{t^3} dt \right)$$

read

$$\sigma \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \left(\frac{\Phi(\delta)}{\delta^2} - \frac{\Phi(\sigma)}{\sigma^2} + \int_{\sigma}^{\delta} \frac{2\Phi(t)}{t^3} dt \right).$$

p 446 l 1 (284X) Add new exercise:

(d) For a tempered function f and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, set

$$(S_{\alpha}f)(x) = f(x + \alpha), \quad (M_{\alpha}f)(x) = e^{i\alpha x} f(x), \quad (D_{\alpha}f)(x) = f(\alpha x)$$

whenever these are defined. (i) Show that $S_{\alpha}f$, $M_{\alpha}f$ and (if $\alpha \neq 0$) $D_{\alpha}f$ are tempered functions.

(ii) Show that if g is a tempered function which represents the Fourier transform of f , then $M_{\alpha}g$ represents the Fourier transform of $S_{\alpha}f$, $S_{-\alpha}g$ represents the Fourier transform of $M_{\alpha}f$,

$\bar{\bar{g}} = \bar{g}$ represents the Fourier transform of \bar{f} , and if $\alpha \neq 0$ then $\frac{1}{|\alpha|} D_{1/\alpha}g$ represents the Fourier transform of $D_{\alpha}f$.

Other exercises have been renamed: 284Xd-284Xq are now 284Xe-284Xr.

p 456 l 27 (statement of Lemma 285P): to match the application in 285Q we should include the trivial case $M = 0$ here.

p 1 Add new result:

285V Proposition Let ν be a Radon probability measure on \mathbb{R}^r such that $\nu * \nu = \nu$. Then ν is the Dirac measure δ_0 concentrated at 0.

p 460 l 24 (285X) Add new exercise:

(d) Let X be a real-valued random variable which is not essentially constant, and φ its characteristic function. Show that $|\varphi(y)| < 1$ for all but countably many $y \in \mathbb{R}$.

Other exercises have been rearranged: 285Xd-285Xe are now 285Xe-285Xf, 285Xf-285Xr are now 285Xi-285Xu, 285Xs is now 285Yt, 285Xt is now 285Xh.

p 461 l 4 (Exercise 285Xh, now 285Xk): for ‘whenever $c \leq d$ in \mathbb{R} ’ read ‘whenever $c < d$ in \mathbb{R} ’.

[J.Pachl.]

p 462 l 14 (part (iii) of Exercise 285Ya) for ‘ $\int \check{h}(x)\nu(dx) = \int h(y)\hat{\nu}(y)dy$ ’ read ‘ $\int h(x)\nu(dx) = \int \check{h}(y)\hat{\nu}(y)dy$ ’.

p 462 l 29 Other exercises have been rearranged: 285Ye-285Yg are now 285Yf-285Yh, 285Yh is now 285Ye, 285Yj-285Yo are now 285Yl-285Yq, 285Yq-285Yr are now 285Yj-285Yk.

p 463 l 38 (285Y) Add new exercises:

(r) Let ν be a probability measure on \mathbb{R} . Show that $|\varphi_\nu(y) - \varphi_\nu(y')|^2 \leq 2(1 - \operatorname{Re} \varphi_\nu(y - y'))$ for any $y, y' \in \mathbb{R}$.

(s) Let $\langle \nu_n \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of probability measures on \mathbb{R} . Set $E = \{y : y \in \mathbb{R}, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{\nu_n}(y) = 1\}$. (i) Show that $E - E$ and $E + E$ are included in E . (ii) Show that if E is not Lebesgue negligible it is the whole of \mathbb{R} .

p 466 l 1 (part (c) of the proof of 286A): for

$$p \int_0^\infty u^{p-1} \mu G_u du = p^2 \int_0^\infty u^{p-2} \left(\int_{-\infty}^\infty (f - \frac{1}{q} u \chi_{\mathbb{R}})^+ \right) du$$

read

$$p \int_0^\infty u^{p-1} \mu G_u du \leq p^2 \int_0^\infty u^{p-2} \left(\int_{-\infty}^\infty (f - \frac{1}{q} u \chi_{\mathbb{R}})^+ \right) du.$$

p 470 l 8 (part (e) of the proof of 286G, now part (g)): the case in which $\sigma \neq \tau$ but $I_\sigma = I_\tau$ is not treated; but in this case $J_\sigma \cap J_\tau = \emptyset$ so $(\phi_\sigma | \phi_\tau) = 0$.

p 471 l 7 Lemmas 286I and 286J have been exchanged.

p 478 l 27 (part (h-ii) of the proof of 286L): for ‘ $\phi_\sigma(y) \neq 0$ ’ read ‘ $\hat{\phi}_\sigma(y) \neq 0$ ’; and similarly two lines later in part (h-iii).

p 479 l 32 (part (i) of the proof of 286L): for ‘ $|x - x'| \leq 2^{-l_L+1} \leq 2^{-m+1}$ ’ read ‘ $|x - x'| \leq 2^{-l_L} \leq 2^{-m}$ ’.

p 482 l 24 (part (b) of the proof of 286O): A.Derighetti has pointed out that the inequality

$$\sum_{\sigma \in Q} \left| \int_{F \cap g^{-1}[J_\sigma]} (f | \phi_\sigma) \phi_\sigma \right| \leq C_9 \|f\|_2 \sqrt{\mu F}$$

of 286N has been miscopied as

$$\sum_{\sigma \in Q} \int_{F \cap g^{-1}[J_\sigma]} |(f | \phi_\sigma) \phi_\sigma| \leq C_9 \|f\|_2 \sqrt{\mu F}.$$

I do not know whether Lemma 286O, as stated, is true; to correct the error I have re-defined the operator A , so that 286O-286P now read

286O Lemma (a) For $z \in \mathbb{R}$, define $\theta_z : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by setting

$$\theta_z(y) = \hat{\phi}(2^{-k}(y - \hat{y}))^2$$

whenever there is a dyadic interval $J \in \mathcal{I}$ of length 2^k such that z belongs to the right-hand half of J and y belongs to the left-hand half of J and \hat{y} is the lower quartile of J , and zero if there is

no such J . Then $(y, z) \mapsto \theta_z(y)$ is Borel measurable, $0 \leq \theta_z(y) \leq 1$ for all $y, z \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\theta_z(y) = 0$ if $y \geq z$.

(b) For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, set $Q_k = \{\sigma : \sigma \in Q, k_\sigma = k\}$. Let $[Q]^{<\omega}$ be the set of finite subsets of Q , $[\mathbb{Z}]^{<\omega}$ the set of finite subsets of \mathbb{Z} and \mathcal{L} the set of subsets L of Q such that $L \cap Q_k$ is finite for every k . If $K \in [\mathbb{Z}]^{<\omega}$ and $L \in \mathcal{L}$, set

$$\mathcal{P}_{KL} = \{P : P \in [Q]^{<\omega}, P \cap Q_k \supseteq L \cap Q_k \text{ whenever } k \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \text{and either } k \in K \text{ or } P \cap Q_k \neq \emptyset\};$$

set

$$\mathcal{F} = \{P : P \subseteq [Q]^{<\omega} \text{ and there are } K \in [\mathbb{Z}]^{<\omega}, L \in \mathcal{L} \text{ such that } P \supseteq \mathcal{P}_{KL}\}.$$

Then \mathcal{F} is a filter on $[Q]^{<\omega}$ and

$$2\pi \int_F (\hat{h} \times \theta_z)^\vee = \lim_{P \rightarrow \mathcal{F}} \sum_{\sigma \in P, z \in J_\sigma} \int_F (h|\phi_\sigma) \phi_\sigma$$

for every $z \in \mathbb{R}$ and rapidly decreasing test function h and measurable set $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ of finite measure.

286P Lemma Suppose that h is a rapidly decreasing test function. For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, set

$$Ah(x) = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} |2\pi (\hat{h} \times \theta_z)^\vee(x)|.$$

Then $Ah : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is Borel measurable, and $\int_F Ah \leq 4C_9 \|h\|_2 \sqrt{\mu F}$ whenever $\mu F < \infty$. There are consequent small changes in the proof of 286S, and Lemma 286T now reads

286T Lemma Set $C_{10} = 3C_9/\pi\tilde{\theta}_1(0)$. For $f \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$, define $\hat{A}f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ by setting

$$(\hat{A}f)(y) = \sup_{a \leq b} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left| \int_a^b e^{-ixy} f(x) dx \right|$$

for each $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\int_F \hat{A}f \leq C_{10} \|f\|_2 \sqrt{\mu F}$ whenever $\mu F < \infty$.

p 486 l 3 (part (b-ii) of the proof of 286R): for ' $\theta_{z\alpha\beta}(y)$ ' read ' $\theta'_{z\alpha\beta}(y)$ '.

p 486 l 7 (part (c) of the proof of 286R): for ' $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \theta_{z\alpha\beta}(y)$ is Borel measurable' read ' $(\alpha, y) \mapsto \theta'_{z\alpha\beta}(y)$ is Borel measurable'.

p 487 l 27 (part (h) of the proof of 286R): for ' $g(\alpha, 1, 0)$ ' read ' $g(\alpha, 0, 1)$ ', and again three lines later.

p 488 l 19 (part (a) of the proof of 286S): for ' $g(t) \leq 4\gamma/(4+t^2)$ for every t ' read ' $g(t) \leq \frac{\gamma\alpha^2}{4\alpha^2+t^2}$ for every t '.

p 500 l 47 (2A2C) Add new part:

(c) If $r, s \geq 1$, $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^r$ and $\phi : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^s$ is a function, we say that ϕ is **uniformly continuous** if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $\|\phi(y) - \phi(x)\| \leq \epsilon$ whenever $x, y \in D$ and $\|y - x\| \leq \delta$. A uniformly continuous function is continuous.

p 508 l 42 In part (b) of 2A3S, interpolate the following:

If Z is another set, \mathcal{G} is a filter on Z , and $\psi : Z \rightarrow X$ is such that $\mathcal{F} = \psi[[\mathcal{G}]]$, then the composition $\phi\psi$ is defined on $\psi^{-1}[D] \in \mathcal{G}$, and if one of the limits $\lim_{x \rightarrow \mathcal{F}} \phi(x)$, $\lim_{z \rightarrow \mathcal{G}} \phi\psi(z)$ is defined in Y so is the other, and they are then equal.

p 515 l 16 (2A5B) Add definition:

Functionals satisfying the conditions (i)-(iii) of this proposition are called **F-seminorms**.